



Volker Matthias, GKSS Research Center, Geesthacht, Germany

The aerosol distribution in Europe derived with CMAQ: comparison to near surface in situ and sunphotometer measurements



## Outline



- Introduction
- Model system
- Check of meteorological input data
- Aerosol model results
  - Comparison to near surface in situ measurements
  - Frequency distributions
  - Comparison to sun photometer measurements
- CMAQ applications at GKSS
- Conclusions









The use of MM5 at GKSS:

- Kain Fritsch 2 convective cloud scheme
- MRF (Hong and Pan) PBL scheme
- Reisner 2 microphysics scheme
- Noah LSM
- ERA40 6-hourly boundary conditions
- FDDA grid nudging with ERA40 fields



## **Checking meteorological fields**





Comparison to ascents from 88 radiosonde station from the igra data base (Durre et al., 2006)

RS data is interpolated to model levels. Mean deviation and correlation are calculated for each profile.



#### Monthly mean of correlation and bias sorted by station:Temperature





Year 2000, mean correlation (Temperature)



#### Monthly mean of correlation and bias sorted by station: Rel. Humidity





#### Year 2000, mean correlation (Rel. Hum.)





MHOLTZ

3 size classes, 10 species, e.g. Sulfate 30.0 Nitrate 27.0 Ammonium 24.0 21.0 Sodium, Chloride 18.0 15.0 Elemental carbon 12.0 9.0 Organics: 6.0 (prim., sec., anthr., biog.) 3.0 0.0 Other (dust, ...)

PM10 annual mean concentration



2000



#### Time series of daily means at Neuglobsow/Germany, year 2000



DE07, PM<sub>10</sub>, 2000, EMEP measurements / CMAQ model results: bias -7.44 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, corr. coeff. 0.52





#### Time series of daily means at Neuglobsow/Germany, year 2001



DE07, PM<sub>10</sub>, 2001, EMEP measurements / CMAQ model results: bias -5.73 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, correlation coeff. 0.65

mean bias -5.7  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (measured: 15.6  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, modelled 9.9  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) corr coeff 0.65



# **Annual PM10**



#### Time series of daily means at Payerne/Switzerland, 2000 and 2001





julian day



sec. inorganic aerosol Melpitz/Germany, 2000

# Daily means

- Bias/µg/m<sup>3</sup> Corr
- -0.140.63
- 0.04 0.67
  - 0.55







# sodium/chloride Melpitz/Germany, 2000

# Daily means

Bias/µg/m<sup>3</sup> Corr



- CI -0.25 0.48
- Na -0.19 0.69







Sec. inorganic Aerosol Birkenes/Norway, 2000

# Daily means

- Bias/µg/m<sup>3</sup> Corr
- NH<sub>4</sub> 0.04 0.67
- NO<sub>3</sub> -0.56 0.49
- SO<sub>4</sub>









# sodium/chloride Birkenes/Norway, 2000

# Daily means

- Bias/µg/m<sup>3</sup> Corr
- CI 0.36 0.81 Na 0.19 0.65



0.5

0

50

100

200

julian day

150

250

300

350

# **Chemically specified / unspecified aerosol**

Melpitz



FORSCHUNG

**Birkenes** 

#### Ammonium aerosol at EMEP stations



#### Annual average and correlation coefficient





## Nitrate aerosol at EMEP stations



#### Annual average and correlation coefficient





### Sulfate aerosol at EMEP stations



#### Annual average and correlation coefficient





# **Frequency distrbutions of daily mean PM10**



#### Measured and modelled values follow lognormal distributions



## Aeronet: a global network of sun photometers









Relation between aerosol mass and extinction coefficient (Malm et al., JGR (1994)):

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{ext} &= 0.003 f(RH) (m_{NH_4} + m_{NO_3} + m_{SO_4}) + \\ &\quad 0.004 m_{OM} + 0.01 m_{EC} + \\ &\quad 0.001 m_{PM2.5_{oth}} + 0.0006 m_{PM_{coarse}} \quad , \end{aligned}$$

f(RH) is given in tables







AOD





Lille



# Usually AOD follows a log-normal distribution $\chi^2$ test is not passed for the meas. in Lille





PAHs imperil humans and ecosystems

- highly bioaccumulative (food chain)
- persistent in various environmental compartments
- significant adverse effects already at low doses
  - carcinogenic
  - impair immune system
  - impair reproduction



Object of international reduction conventions

(Target values for air concentrations -

EU: 1 ng/m3 (annual average), UK: 0.25 ng/m3)

Emissions are likely to increase → wood burning, ship\_traffic

# **B(a)P concentrations in Europe**





total annual amount of emitted B(a)P was the same for both model runs (based on 2000 data)





Application: nitrogen wet and dry deposition



## Nitrogen input into the North Sea





Total N dry deposition



- 30 % of total N comes via the atmosphere
- atmospheric input is dominated by wet deposition of particle bound nitrogen

100 ANO<sub>3</sub>(p) ANH<sub>4</sub>(p) total N wet 80 monthly deposition / mg/m<sup>2</sup> 60 40 20 0 2 12 З 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 1 month

Atmospheric nitrogen input into the North Sea by wet deposition (2000)







Simulation of the aerosol distribution in Europe in 2000 and 2001  $\rightarrow$  multidecadal runs

Validation of model results by comparison to EMEP measurements  $\rightarrow$  missing organic aerosols / natural aerosols (dust) / resuspension ??

Lognormal distributions are (mostly) followed by model results and measurements

AOD underestimated  $\rightarrow$  missing aerosol mass, vertical distribution?

CMAQ applications at GKSS: PAHs, nitrogen eutrophication

Most of this work is described in

V. Matthias: The aerosol distribution in Europe derived with the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model: Comparison to near surface in situ and sun photometer measurements, **Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics**, submitted 2007





